<u>REPORTABLE</u>

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NOS.1879-1881/2015

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NOS.13/2003, 197/2004 & 302/2012

STATE OF KARNATAKA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

COMMON CAUSE AND ORS.ETC. ETC. ...RESPONDENTS

WITH

R.P.(C) NO.1876-1877/2015 IN W.P.(C) NO.197/2004, R.P.(C) NO.1834/2015 IN W.P.(C) NO.197/2004, R.P.(C) NOS.2703-2705/2015 IN W.P.(C) NOS.13/2003, 197/2004 & 302/2012, R.P. (C) NOS.3191-3193/2015 IN W.P. (C) NOS.13/2003, 197/2004 & 302/2012, R.P.(C) NOS.3275-3277/2015 IN W.P. (C) NOS.13/2003, 197/2004 & 302/2012, R.P.(C) NOS.13/2003, 197/2004 & 302/2012, R.P.(C) NOS.3259/2015 IN W.P.(C) NO.197/2004, R.P.(C) NOS.3674-3676/2015 IN W.P. (C) NOS.13/2003, 197/2004 & 302/2012, R.P. (C) NOS.13/2003, 197/2004 & 302/2012, R.P. (C) NOS.123-125/2016 IN W.P.(C) NOS.13/2003, 197/2004 & 302/2012.

ORDER

1. We have heard the learned counsels for all the contesting parties. Upon due consideration, we review our judgment dated 13th May, 2015 passed in Writ Petition (Civil) No.13 of 2003, Writ Petition (Civil) No.197 of 2003e 1

and Writ Petition (Civil) No.302 of 2012 to the extent indicated below:

- (i) The exception carved out in paragraph 23 of the aforesaid judgment dated 13th May, 2015 permitting the publication of the photographs of the President, Prime Minister and Chief Justice of the country, subject to the said authorities themselves deciding the question, is now extended to the Governors and the Chief Ministers of the States.
- (ii) In lieu of the photograph of the Prime

 Minister, the photograph of the

 Departmental (Cabinet) Minister/Minister

 In-charge of the concerned Ministry may be
 published, if so desired.
- (iii) In the States, similarly, the photograph of
 the Departmental (Cabinet)
 Minister/Minister In-charge in lieu of the
 photograph of the Chief Minister may be
 published, if so desired.
- (iv) All other observations/directions in the aforesaid judgment dated 13th May, 2015

shall continue to remain in force subject to the above modification.

2. The review petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

	T
	(RANJAN GOGOI)
	(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)
NEW DELHI;	
MARCH 18, 2016.	

JUDGMENT