REPORTABLE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL/APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 116 OF 1998

JUSTICE SUNANDA BHANDARE FOUNDATION Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ANR

Respondent(s)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 115 OF 1998

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 430 OF 2000

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6442 OF 1998

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6443 OF 1998

J U D G M E N T

R.M. LODHA, J. JUDGMENT

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 116 of 1998

In this Writ Petition filed by the petitioner

- a charitable trust, the prayers made are (i) for
implementation of the provisions of the Persons with
Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of
Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995 (for short,
'1995 Act'), (ii) direction for the reservation

of 1% of the identified teaching posts in the faculties and college of various Universities in terms of Section 33 of the 1995 Act, and (iii) for declaration that denial of appointment to the visually disabled persons in the faculties and college of various Universities in the identified posts is violative of their fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 14 and 15 read with Article 41 of the Constitution of India.

- 2. Initially, two respondents, namely, (one)
 Union of India through its Secretary, Ministry of
 Welfare and (two) University Grants Commission
 (U.G.C.) through its Chairperson were impleaded as
 party respondents.
- 3. On 07.10.1998, the Court ordered impleadment of the States and so also the Union Territories and, accordingly, respondent Nos. 3 to 34 were impleaded as party respondents.
- 4. On 13.09.2001, the Court directed the Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India to be impleaded as party respondent and

consequently it has been impleaded as respondent No. 35.

- 18.02.2009, 5. Then on the Court directed Commissioners for Persons with Disabilities various States and Union Territories to be impleaded as party respondents and consequently respondent Nos. 36 to 70 have been impleaded who are Commissioners for Persons with Disabilities in different States and Union Territories.
- 6. Certain interim orders have been passed by this Court from time to time.
- 7. Insofar as U.G.C. (respondent No. 2) is concerned, the Court was informed on 19.03.2002 through counter affidavit that U.G.C. has acted in compliance of the 1995 Act. In paras 3, 6, 7 and 8 of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities, it was stated:
 - "3. It is humbly submitted that in pursuance of Section 32 of the Persons with Disabilities Act (Equal Opportunities Protection of Rights and Full participation) Act, 1995, the

appropriate government (Government of India) has updated the list of identified posts. This list has been issued vide Extraordinary Gazette Notification No. 178 dated 30.6.2001. In this list, the posts of University/College/School Teacher for the blind and low-vision have been listed at Sl. No. 24-27 on page No. 592.

- 6. Chief Commissioner The with Disabilities has cognizance of the arrangements provided by the University Grants Commission persons with disabilities by way extending 5% relaxation in cut off marks, appearing in the NET for Junior Research Fellowship and Lectuership. Thus, arrangement extended by UGC in consonance with the policy stand taken by Govt. of India in so far as relaxation minimum standard is concerned. Relaxation in standards has been favoured only when the candidates belonging reserved categories are not available on the basis of the general standard to fill all the vacancies reserved for them.
- The relaxation extended 7. SC ST candidates as per Maintenance Standard 1998 of the Universities, provides for a 5% relaxation from 55 % to 50% the marks obtained at Master's Since reservation Degree. for disabled is called horizontal reservation which cuts across all vertical categories SC, ST, OBC as & General. Therefore, all such blind/low-vision persons who belonged to SC, ST vertical category would automatically enjoy benefit of 5 % relaxation at the minimum qualifying marks obtained at Master's level. Thus, only the blind Degree low vision belonging to OBC & General

categories are deprived of the relaxation of 5 % marks at masters' level.

- 8. The blind/low-vision other visually disabled persons belonging to SC & ST category are in any case enjoying the benefit of 5% relaxation in marks obtained at the master's level for appearing in the NET examination conducted by the UGC. By extending the relaxation to particularly blind/low-vision and in general all disabled at par with SC & ST disabled would bring parity amongst all persons with disabilities irrespective of their vertical categories."
- 8. Thus, insofar as U.G.C. is concerned, this Court in the order 19.03.2002 observed that nothing survives for consideration and the matter is disposed of as against U.G.C.
- 9. On 19.07.2006, the Court directed the Union of India and the State Governments to file their responses in the form of affidavits within a period of four weeks, failing which it was observed that the Court may be compelled to direct personal appearance of the Chief Secretaries of the concerned States though the Court would like to avoid in making such a direction. Some of the States have filed their responses and some have not.

- 10. Be that as it may, the beneficial provisions of the 1995 Act cannot be allowed to remain only on paper for years and thereby defeating the very purpose of such law and legislative policy. The Union, States, Union Territories and all those upon whom obligation has been cast under the 1995 Act have to effectively implement it. As a matter of fact, the role of the governments in the matter such as this has to be In the matters of providing relief to proactive. those who are differently abled, the approach and attitude of the executive must be liberal and relief oriented and not obstructive or lethargic. A little concern for this class who are differently abled can do wonders in their life and help them stand on their own and not remain on mercy of others. A welfare State, that India is, must accord its best and special attention to a section of our society which comprises of differently abled citizens. This is true equality and effective conferment of equal opportunity.
- 11. More than 18 years have passed since the 1995
 Act came to be passed and yet we are confronted with
 the problem of implementation of the 1995 Act in its

letter and spirit by the Union, States, Union Territories and other establishments to which it is made applicable.

- 12. Ms. Sunita Sharma, learned counsel for the Union of India, informs us that insofar as Union of India is concerned, it has implemented the provisions of the 1995 Act and the reservation of 1% of the identified teaching posts in the faculties and college of various Universities in terms of Section 33 of the 1995 Act has been done.
- 13. In our view, the 1995 Act has to be implemented in the letter and spirit by the Central Government, State Governments and Union Territories without any delay, if not implemented so far.
- 14. We, accordingly, direct the Central Government, State Governments and Union Territories to implement the provisions of the 1995 Act immediately and positively by the end of 2014.
- 15. The Secretary, Ministry of Welfare, Government of India, the Chief Secretaries of the States, the Administrators of Union Territories, the Chief Commissioner of the Union of India and the

Commissioners of the State Governments and Union Territories shall ensure implementation of the 1995 Act in all respects including with regard to visually disabled persons within the above time.

16. Writ Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 115 of 1998, Writ Petition (Civil) No. 430 of 2000, Civil Appeal No. 6442 of 1998 and Civil Appeal No. 6443 of 1998

Writ Petitions and Appeals are disposed of in terms of the judgment passed today in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 116 of 1998.

- 2. No costs.
- 3. Interlocutory Applications for intervention and impleadment filed in Civil Appeal No. 6442 of 1998, in view of the above, do not survive and they stand disposed of as such.

•	 	 •	 •	•	 	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.J	Γ.
	LODHA													

	(SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA)
NEW DELHI; MARCH 26, 2014	(DIPAK MISRA)
	्रिक्ति ज्याः । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । । ।

JUDGMENT