Reportable

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 958 OF 2016 (Arising out of SLP (Criminal) No.3757 of 2016)

BensonAppellant

Versus

State of Kerala Respondent

With

<u>CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 960 OF 2016</u> (Arising out of SLP(Criminal) No.3759 of 2016)

<u>CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 959 OF 2016</u> (Arising out of SLP(Criminal) No.3758 of 2016)

and

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 957 OF 2016 (Arising out of SLP(Criminal) No.3756 of 2016)

JUDGMENT

Uday Umesh Lalit, J.

1. Leave granted. These appeals by Special Leave arise out of judgments and orders passed by the High Court of Kerala in Criminal Revision Petition

Nos. (i) 808 of 2015 on 16.09.2015, (ii) 859 of 2015 on 16.09.2015, (iii) 858 of 2015 on 14.09.2015 and (iv) 670 of 2015 on 17.09.2015.

2. On the allegation that the appellant was involved in committing thefts he was charged of having committed offences on different occasions and was separately tried in i) CC No.158 of 2004 before Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chavakkad for offences punishable under Section 379, 414 read with 34 IPC, ii) CC No.1039 of 2003 by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chavakkad for offences punishable under Section 379, 414 read with 34 IPC, iii) CC No.390 of 2004 by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chavakkad for offences punishable under Section 379, 414 read with 34 IPC and (iv) CC No.1168 of 2006 by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kunnamkulam. By separate judgments, the appellant was convicted and sentenced in each of the aforesaid crimes. The respective appeals preferred by the appellant were dismissed by the Sessions Judge, Thrissur. The appellant filed Criminal Revision Petitions in the High Court which were also dismissed. The following chart would disclose the relevant details:-

C.C.No./ Offence	Date of occurrence	Date of Conviction and Sentence by Judicial Magistrate First Class	Crl. Appeal No.	Crl. R.P. No. in the High Court	SLP No.
in the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class (in short JMFC), Chavakkad/ U/s 379, 414 r/w 34 of IPC	03.06.2003	28.06.06, RI for 2 years and fine of Rs.1,000/- for offence u/s 379 IPC and RI for 2 years u/s 414 ID RI for 3 months	533/2012 decided on 15.11.2012 by Sessions Judge, Thrissur	808/ 2015	SLP (Crl.) 3757/ 2016
1039/2003 in the Court of JMFC, Chavakkad/ U/s 379, 414 r/w 34 of IPC	03.06.2003	28.06.06, RI for 2 years and fine of Rs.1,000/- for offence u/s 379 IPC and RI for 2 years for offence u/s 414 IPC, ID RI for 3 months.		859/ 2015	SLP (Crl.) 3759/ 2016
390/2004 in the Court of JMFC, Chavakkad/ U/s 379, 414 r/w 34 of IPC	03.06.2003	28.06.06, RI for 2 years and fine of Rs.1,000/- for offence u/s 379 IPC and RI for 2 years u/s 414 ID RI for 3 months	761/2011 decided on 04.08.2012 by Sessions Judge, Thrissur	858/ 2015	SLP (Crl.) 3758/ 2016
1168/2006 in the Court of JMFC, Kunnamkulam/ U/s 379 r/w 34 of IPC	03.06.2003	31.12.08, RI for 1 year and fine of Rs.1,000/- ID SI for 6 months	461/2011 decided on 30.09.2011 by Sessions Judge, Thrissur	670/ 2005	SLP (Crl.) 3756/ 2016

3. These matters came up on 22.04.2016 when this Court noted the submissions of the learned counsel for the appellant regarding concurrent running of sentences and issued notice to the State. The learned counsel appearing for the State has produced before us communication dated 27.05.2016 from the Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services, which is as under:-

"PRISONS HEADQUARTERS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM Dated: 27.05.2016

WP1-9606/2016

From

The Director General of Prisons & Correctional Services.

To

The Law Officer, Office of the Resident Commissioner, Travancore Palace, Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi – 110 001.

Sir,

Sub: Prisons – Prisons Headquarters, Kerala - Supreme Court case – SLP (Crl) CRLMP No.6727/2016/Benson vs. State of Kerala – reg.

Ref: Lr. No.38749/B1/2016/Home dtd.19/05/2016

Attention is invited to the subject & reference cited.

I may furnish the details called for vide reference is noted below:

Conviction Details

Sl.	Case No., Court and	Sentence Details	
No.	Warrant Date		
1	CC 613/03	Sentenced to undergo RI for 3 years u/s 379 IPC	
	JFCM I, Thrissur	Set off 97 days. At large bail period -153 days.	
	Wdt.20-11-2003		
2	CC 533/04	Sentenced to undergo RI for 2 years u/s 392 IPC	
	JFMC II, Thrissur	Set off 521 days.	
	Wdt.8-6-2005		
3	CC 529/04	Sentenced to undergo RI for 3 years u/s 392 IPC,	
	JFMC II, Thrissur	Set off 493 days	
	Wdt.18-6-2005		
4	CC 1270/13 JFCM	Sentenced to undergo RI for 2 years + fine	
	Changanassery	Rs.5000/- i/d SI for 1 month u/s 380 IPC, RI for 2	
	Wdt.18-6-2005	years + fine Rs.5,000/- i/d SI for 1 month u/s 457	
	GG 1115/02 FEGN 6	IPC (Concurrently) Set of 348 days	
5	CC 1115/03 JFCM	Sentenced to undergo RI for 2 years + fine	
	Irinjalakkuda	Rs.2,000/- i/d SI for 2 months u/s 379 IPC Set off	
	Wdt. 4-7-2005	402 days	
6	CC 932/05	Sentenced to undergo RI for 2 years + fine Rg 2 000/ i/d SI for 2 months u/g 270 IPC	
	JFMC Irinjalakuda	Rs.2,000/- i/d SI for 2 months u/s 379 IPC	
7	Wdt.4-7-2005 CC 171/05	Set off 465 days Sentenged to undergo PI for 5 years u/s 302 IPC PI	
/	ADSJ Adhoc II	Sentenced to undergo RI for 5 years u/s 392 IPC, RI for 3 years u/s 120(B) IPC (Concurrently) Set off	
	Kottayam	418 days.	
	Wdt.25-11-2005	410 days.	
8	CC 274/06	Sentenced to undergo SI for 3 years u/s 205 IPC	
	JFCM Kodungallur	Set off 414 days	
	Wdt.30-9-2008	Set off 111 days	
9	CC 158/04	Sentenced to undergo RI for 2 years + fine	
	JFCM Chavakkad	Rs.1,000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 379 IPC, RI for 2	
	Wdt.28-6-2006	years u/s 414 IPC (Concurrently) Set off 347 days	
10	CC 1039/03 JFCM	Sentenced to undergo RI for 2 years + fine	
	Chavakkad	Rs.1,000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 379 IPC, RI for 2	
	Wdt. 28-6-2006	years u/s 414 IPC (Concurrently)	
		Set off 240 days	
11	CC 390/04	Sentenced to undergo RI for 2 years + fine	
	JFCM Chavakkad	Rs.1,000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 379 IPC, RI for 2	
	Wdt.28-6-2006	years u/s 414 IPC (Concurrently) Set off 141 days	

12	CC 1168/06 JFCM	Sentenced to undergo RI for 1 year + fine Rs.1,000 i/d SI for 2 months u/s 379 r/w 34 IPC Set off 14
	Kunnamangalam Wdt.31-12-2008	days

	Sentence Calculation		
S.	Case No. Sentence Calculation		
No.			
		1 st Sentence Started	20-11-2003
1	CC 613/03	Sentence 3 years	19-11-2006
	JFCM I, Thrissur	Set Off 97 days	14-08-2006
		At large 153 days	14-01-2007
2	CC 533/04	2 nd Sentence Started	14-01-2007
	JFCM II, Thrissur	Sentence 2 years	14-01-2007
		Set off 521 days	12-08-2009
3	CC 529/04	3 rd Sentence Started	12-08-2009
	JFCM II, Thrissur	Sentence 3 years	12-08-2010
		Set off 493 days	06-04-2009
4	CC 1270/13 JFCM	4 th Sentence Started	06-04-2009
	Changanassery	Sentence 2 years	06-04-2011
		Set off 348 days	23-04-2010
5	CC 115/03 JFCM	5 th Sentence Started	23-04-2010
	Irinjalakkuda	Sentence 2 years	23-04-2012
		Set off 402 days	18-03-2011
6	CC 932/05	6 th Sentence Started	18-03-2011
	JFCM Irinjalakuda	Sentence 2 years	18-03-2013
		Set off 465 days	09-12-2011
7	SC 171/05	7 th Sentence Started	09-12-2011
	ADSJ Adhoc II,	Sentence 5 years	09-12-2016
	Kottayam	Set off 418 days	18-10-2015
8	CC 274/06	8 th Sentence Started	18-10-2015
	JFCM Kodungallur	Sentence 3 years	18-10-2018
		Set off 414 days	30-08-2017
9	CC 158/04	9 th Sentence Started	30-08-2017
	JFCM Chavakkad	Sentence 2 years	30-08-2019
		Set off 347 days	17-09-2018
10	CC 1039/03 JFCM	10 th Sentence Started	17-9-2018
	Chavakkad	Sentence 2 years	17-09-2020
		Set off 240 days	21-01-2020

11	CC 390/04	11 th Sentence Started	21-01-2020
	JFCM	Sentence 2 years	21-01-2022
	Chavakkad	Set off 141 days	02-09-2021
12	CC 1168/06	12 th Sentence Started	02-09-2021
	JFCM	Sentence 1 year	02-09-2022
	Kunnamangalam	Set off 14 days	19-08-2022
	Fine Sentence		19-10-2022 (F4)
	Details		19-12-2022
			(F5)
			19-02-2023
			(F6)
			19-05-2023
			(F9)
			19-08-2023 (F10)
			19-11-2023
			(11)
			19-05-2024
			(F12)

As per the records, he will spend 12 years 3 months and 8 days in prison as on 31/07/2016.

His date of expiry of substantive sentence falls on 19-08-2022 without any remission. He has already earned 3 years 10 months 27 days remission as on 26-05-16. He has to pay fine of Rs.18,000/- in various cases in default he has to undergo 1 year 5 months in Jail.

Yours faithfully, Sd/-Director General of Prisons & Correctional Services"

4. According to the aforesaid communication, the appellant stands convicted and sentenced in 12 different matters including the present matters which appear at Serial Nos.9, 10, 11 and 12 in the chart. Going by the

sentence calculation, the sentence in the 9th case would begin on 30.08.2017 and finally, the sentence in the 12th case, after getting all benefits of set off, would be over on 02.09.2022.

5. Section 427 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is as under:"427. Sentence on offender already sentenced for another offence. – (1) When a person already undergoing a sentence of imprisonment is sentenced on a subsequent conviction to imprisonment or imprisonment for life, such imprisonment or imprisonment for life shall commence at the expiration of the imprisonment to which he has been previously sentenced, unless the Court directs that the subsequent sentence shall run concurrently with such previous sentence:

Provided that where a person who has been sentenced to imprisonment by an order under Section 122 in default of furnishing security is, whilst undergoing such sentence, sentenced to imprisonment for an offence committed prior to the making of such order, the latter sentence shall commence immediately.

- (2)When a person already undergoing a sentence of imprisonment for life is sentenced on a subsequent conviction to imprisonment for a term or imprisonment for life, the subsequent sentence shall run concurrently with such previous sentence."
- 6. In terms of sub-section (1) of Section 427, if a person already undergoing a sentence of imprisonment is sentenced on a subsequent conviction to imprisonment, such subsequent term of imprisonment would normally commence at the expiration of the imprisonment to which he was previously sentenced. Going by this normal principle, the sentence chart

indicated in the communication dated 27.05.2016 is quite correct. However this normal rule is subject to a qualification and it is within the powers of the Court to direct that the subsequent sentence shall run concurrently with the previous sentence.

7. In *V.K.Bansal* v. *State of Haryana and Another*¹ it was stated by this Court:

"It is manifest from Section 427(1) that the Court has the power and the discretion to issue a direction but in the very nature of the power so conferred upon the Court the discretionary power shall have to be exercised along the judicial lines and not in a mechanical, wooden or pedantic manner. It is difficult to lay down any straitjacket approach in the matter of exercise of such discretion by the courts. There is no cut and dried formula for the Court to follow in the matter of issue or refusal of a direction within the contemplation of Section 427(1). Whether or not a direction ought to be issued in a given case would depend upon the nature of the offence or offences committed, and the fact situation in which the question of concurrent running of the sentences arises."

This Court then went on to club various crimes in respect of which sentences were imposed upon the appellant therein in three groups; i) the first having 12 cases, ii) the second having 2 cases and iii) the third having a single case. This Court directed that substantive sentences within first two groups would run inter se concurrently and the substantive sentences in first two groups and that in respect of the case in the third group would run

1

consecutively. The benefit was confined only in respect of substantive sentences and no qua sentences in default.

- 8. We have gone through the record and considered rival submissions. We do not find anything incorrect in the assessment made by the Courts below and in our view the orders of conviction recorded against the appellant in the present cases are quite correct. We also do not find anything wrong in the quantum of sentence imposed in respect of the respective crimes. However going by the sentence calculation, the sentence imposed in respect of the first crime started with effect from 20.11.2003 and the last sentence would be over by 19.08.2022, which would effectively mean that the total length of sentences in aggregate would be around 19 years. We are not concerned with first eight matters and sentences imposed in respect of those crimes. The sentence in respect of 8th crime is presently running against the appellant and would be over on 30.08.2017.
- 9. The maximum sentence in respect of the present crimes is two years' rigorous imprisonment. As per the record, these crimes were committed on the same day. Having considered the matters, we deem it appropriate to direct that the sentences imposed in each of the cases, i.e. (i) CC No.158 of 2004, (ii) CC No. 1039 of 2003, (iii) CC No. 390 of 2004 and (iv) CC No. 1168 of 2006 namely those at Sl.Nos.9 to 12 respectively as indicated in the

sentence chart in the communication dated 27.05.2016 shall run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Crime No.8 which is currently operative. We grant this benefit in respect of substantive sentences to the appellant but maintain the sentences of fine and the default sentences. If the fine as imposed is not deposited, the default sentence or sentences will run consecutively and not concurrently.

10. The appeals are thus allowed in part and the orders of sentences stand modified accordingly.

.....J. (Dipak Misra)

.....J.

(Uday Umesh Lalit)

New Delhi, October 03, 2016