

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

237 CRM-M-35002-2017
RYAN AUGUSTINE PINTO VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

237A CRM-M-35003-2017
DR. AUGUSTINE FRANCIS PINTO & ANR.
VS _____
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

Present: Mr. R.S. Cheema, Sr. Advocate &
 Mr. R.S. Rai, Sr. Advocate with
 Mr. Sandeep Kapur & Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate
 for petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Sushil K. Tekriwal and Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocates
for the complainant.

Mr. Arun Gupta and Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocates
for the Chandigarh Parents Association.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate
Retainer counsel for respondent-CBI.

At the very outset, learned retainer counsel for CBI seeks
adjournment to file reply in these petitions.

Learned counsel for petitioners submit that these petitions are
pending since 19.09.2017. Learned retainer counsel for CBI has put in
appearance on 25.09.2017. They, however, do not oppose grant of
adjournment on the request of learned retainer counsel for the CBI but seek
protection of petitioners till next date.

Learned retainer counsel for CBI though does not want to argue
the case on merit but he wants to address arguments on interim relief being
sought by petitioners.

As learned retainer counsel for CBI has sought adjournment to
file reply on merits and to address arguments, it will be appropriate
that petitions be heard on merit and decided instead of hearing the part

arguments, which will certainly touch merits of the case.

List on 07.10.2017.

In the meanwhile, arrest of petitioners shall remain stayed till next date. However, if required, they will be called and allowed to join investigation.

These petitions be segregated from CRM-M-35035 and CRM-M-34995 of 2017.

Copy of this order be placed on the file of other connected matter.

September 28, 2017
jk

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE



सत्यमेव जयते